

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

m'

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/600,434		10/02/2000	Pascal Portrait	D-7683 4851		
25572	7590	03/24/2004		EXAMINER		
		ORATION		PARADISO, JOHN ROGER		
LEGAL DE 4850D NO		NT RCH LANE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SMYRNA,	GA 300	80		3721	ZO	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/600,434	PORTRAIT, PASCAL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John R. Paradiso	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	· ••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 January 20 <u>04</u> .		
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merit	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	***	` `	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	;
	That of the doranted copies he	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· 🗖	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/600,434

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendments

1. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

2. In the previous Office Action, claims 12, 13, 16-18, and 20 were indicated allowable because "the prior art could not alone or in combination anticipate or make obvious a method or apparatus in which articles are provided with an outwardly protruding portion in fixed position relative to a label on the article, the protrusion then being moved till it reaches an abutment in a carrier of the articles so that the articles are aligned in the carrier."

Upon re-consideration, these claims are viewed as reciting structure that precludes them as being considered means plus function claims and are thus broad enough, on re-consideration, to read on the prior art as discussed in the rejection below.

Claim Rejections

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/600,434

Art Unit: 3721

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DIETRICH ET AL (US 5657610).

DIETRICH ET AL discloses a mechanism for grouping articles (30) in which an endless conveyor (37) moves an endless series of channels (39) along which articles are passed. The articles are organized into groupings (38) which are then subdivided into subgroupings (39) and pushed into containers. (See DIETRICH ET AL col. 1:65-2:35 and Fig. 1.)

5. Claims 12, 13, 16-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by KONTZ (US 4472217).

KONTZ discloses a method and apparatus for packaging in which the outer part of an article contains a protrusion (15) which is sensed by a positioning assembly, and used to orient the article. The member turning the article is read on the claimed "orientation means" and the aligning means (50) attached to the support is being read on the claimed "abutment means".

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MONCRIEF (US 5531661).

MONCRIEF discloses a method and apparatus for forming cartons in which a carton blank (B) is conveyed past a die member (36) which has an indentation (58). A complementary die member (38) is provided to work with the die member (36). T The blank is folded/opened by die member (70), which has a protrusion (68) that helps to open the blank.

Application/Control Number: 09/600,434

Art Unit: 3721

MONCRIEF does not disclose the use of a die with an indention/complementary die with a protrusion for the opening/folding of the blank.

However, it is well known in the art to use hammer/anvil combinations in manipulating/folding blanks with rotating dies. MONCRIEF does disclose the use of a die with an indention/complementary die with a protrusion for initial handling of the blank and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the invention of MONCRIEF with a die with an indentation to work in a complementary manner with the die (70) with the protrusion (68), in order to more positively control the blank as it is handled throughout the process.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 19 are allowed.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
 Claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 19 are treated as means plus function claims and the prior art could not
 alone or in combination anticipate or make obvious a packaging machine in which a means for
 separating groups of articles creates sub-groups of articles, a means for carton erecting comprises
 complementary die members on rotating wheels with each die member engaging a carton blank
 between them and forming receiving cells in the carton, loading means for loading the subgroups
 of articles into the receiving cells.

Art Unit: 3721

The closest pertinent prior art, DIETRICH ET AL, discloses erecting/loading cartons, but not dividing the articles into subgroups.

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed 1/8/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 10. Applicant states on page 9 of his Response that "Dietrich does not teach or disclose the divergence of the channels into sub-groupings from an initial grouping."

However, claim 10 recites "an endless series of channels along which articles may be transferred into a plurality of article receiving cells of a carton..". These channels are shown as (39) in Figure 1 of DIETRICH ET AL. Claim 10 further recites "the channels are organized into groupings whereby each grouping corresponds to a given number of grouped articles to be loaded into the carton..". This can also be clearly seen in the one-to-one correspondence between the articles and the carton cells in DIETRICH ET AL. Claim 10 continues "the channels adpted to be substantially parallell to one another and then diverge into subgroupings..". DIETRICH ET AL shows this divergence as increased spacing between the intial groupings (38) which are then subdivided into subgroupings (39).

Application/Control Number: 09/600,434 Page 6

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. – 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Examiner John Paradiso: (703) 308-2825

Additional Phone Numbers:

 Supervisor Rinaldi Rada:
 (703) 308-2187

 TC 3700 Receptionist:
 (703) 308-1148

 Customer Service:
 (703) 306-5648

 Fax (directly to Examiner)
 (703) 746-3253

 Fax (Official):
 (703) 872-9306

March 21, 2004